Khunte

Women's Reality, Woman's Poetry

By Ramanika Gupta

Available from Navlekhan Prakashan, Main road, Hazaribagh-825301, Bihar Published 1980, Price: Rs. 10

HERE is one of the best collections of feminist poetry that we have seen – ironic without cynicism, enthused but self-questioning, simple yet aware of complexity. *Khunte* concentrates on the moment of breaking away – the moment when a woman publicly states her opting out from this society which she has not made, and in so doing, begins to define herself and her struggle. Most of the poems are structured around this double movement – first, they document women's life as it has been, as she has suffered it, and then with a triumphant "But now..." (*par ab*) swing into her refusal to accept and endure any longer.

Perhaps Ramanika's most brilliant achievement is her reinterpretation of myth from women's point of view. She points out that the "glorious culture of our nation" and of every nation has been nothing but a long saga of degradation for women:

Ever - New Corpse

My Hindustan, listen now to the reading of woman's purana. Woman's refusal wakens man's rage; till she submits he is on the boil, burning to consume her, destroy her. And woman's acceptance? It is but the echo of men's boisterous laughter in their get-togethers; it becomes a joke for a drunken evening, scattered in the smirks of boon companions. Woman burns in the fire of men's desires



again and again, like an ever-new corpse – yes, this is woman's purana, My Hindustan!

It is a real joy to see this superbly ironic scalpel applied to the *swayamvar** custom with its pretension to offering women a "free choice" – which is only the choice between dependence on one man or on another. The book begins with this poem:

I Will Crush The Wedding Garland

In this huge hall you sit on many thrones, with many faces, waiting for the wedding garland. you have put up signboards at every exit,

54 MANUSHI

^{*} The swayamwar was a ceremony in which the Rajput princess was allowed to "choose" her bridegroom. Her father invited a number of eligible young princes and she was brought to the hall and asked to put the wedding garland round the neck of any one of them. The famous warrior Prithviraj had a feud with the father of Sanjukta so he was not invited to her swayamwar. He disguised himself as a statue and stood at the hall gates. Sanjukta is supposed to have put the garland round the statue which sprang to life and carried her away.

saying,

"Women are forbidden to go out without permission."

Conditioning stands on guard

dressed in the many uniforms of culture,

grasping the sharp swords of tradition.

And I.

dressed up, decorated,

have been brought into this closed, exitless hall.

The garland has been put into my hands,

the garland which I must

put around the neck

of any one man.

I am not allowed

to go back

without putting on the garland.

You will not tolerate

my refusal,

and my "choice"

is imprisoned in the laws made by you.

I will have to put on you the garland

because the exits are closed for me

and outside too

Prithviraj stands ready,

On guard – to carry me away.

Spare to me at least

my right to say no,

let me at least

build my own basis for choice.

But you -

you who have so many faces,

you who make so many masks,

design so many farces,

mould so many relationships -

you paint on the canvas of your culture

only one picture -

that of my surrender,

not of my refusal.

And today

I have decided to refuse,

I have vowed to return the wedding garland,

I have resolved to say no.

So

remove those watchmen of yours

from the doors

For if you do not

I will tear off your masks,

scratch your faces,

 $wrench\ those\ stitched\ smiles\ from\ your\ lips,$

uproot the destiny graven on your brows,

snatch from your hands the rods of pride

and smash the mirrors of honour shining in your eyes.

I will break the standard of glory and reputation

hidden in your aspirations.

Yes, I will tear to pieces

your wolfish stares,

I will knock down your watchmen

and crush the wedding garland

on any one in your decked-up queues,

because in this system

I do not have the right

to choose.

The poet looks at the religion, history, literature of patriarchal society and shows how the glorification of women has been geared towards our further enslavement. In *Adam's Rib*, she says:

... History found in me

Helen's beauty,

Cleopatra's form,

Rati's delicacy,

Anarkali's maidenhood,

Ahalya's patience -

such beauty weighted in my favour

tipped the scales down -

down so low

that to lift them again

one would have to take the aid

of some man or other!...

Ramanika's anger pours forth with no attempt at inhibition or false humility but one is continually amazed by the forceful and creative channeling of the anger.

The physical images through which her struggle is conveyed are those of determined onward movement, a steady pacing rather than the escape of a hunted creature. The woman protagonist faces up to the oppressor's whole arsenal, and responds with that determined negation which is in itself the most positive assertion:

Refused

I desired to move forward.

He told me to hold his hand.

I refused.

Then he showered on me

Blow upon blow.

I stumbled, fell,

rose and went ahead.

He stepped forward, blocked my away.

Slowly, gently, I put his hand aside

and went ahead.

He drew back his hands,

slapped me across the face.

I looked at him,

tear ion my eyes

and walked on,

alone.

He was unable to withstand my freedom,

He wanted to lock me in his arms.

He wove a web of love and kisses,

Threw it over me

so that in those folds

my soul should spend its life,

helplessly fluttering

and die, suffocated, enmeshed.

But I survived this onslaught too,

I emerged from the maze.

Then he pushed me into sinking sands,

Showered me with accusations,

thinking I would accept defeat

at his hands.

his maleness,

his worldwide supremacy.

But I refused,

I refused,

I refused.

One gets here the feel of how women fight back – not with jerky aggressiveness but with the non-violent strength which is most terrifying to those whose ultimate weapon is brute force. One is reminded of women in the national movement and in Chipko. These poems startle with their insight, their alertness to various dangers, especially the one most insidious to women – the laying down of limits for us by the oppressor group. Ramanika points out that however expansive the limits may be, if we allow ourselves to be told "thus far and no further", we are trapped in the same dependence from which we are struggling to break out:

...wherever I go, I draw back,

shadows wrap round me,

shadows of darkness, of light,

which become boundaries

though they be

unreached, untouched,

near and vet far,

magnetic, rainbow hued,

though they hold in themselves

the universe...

they encircle me,

inhibit my pace

because I am woman.

for centuries I have been stopping,

limiting, encircling, myself...

but now

I have leaped across the limits,

have cut away, flung away

that veil of boundaries

which kept wrapping itself around me

since birth,

since the beginning -

I tore off that armour

though in the process

my body too bled... (I Am Woman)

Ramanika has the knack of crystallizing her argument in one forceful image, for example the whole question of sympathetic men's participation in women's organizations is matter-of-factly answered thus:

... You

talk of women's freedom

so loudly, so forcefully

that her voice is drowned.

You do not listen to her -

you only keep on and on

with your own version... (A

(Ardhanarishwar)

She uses the Taj as a symbol of male-dominated structures which, however attractive they may appear, are based in exploitation:

Do not think I am Mumtaz

and build a Tajmahal.

The blood of the poor

will turn white,

their sweat run down those walls

and their labour leap to death

from those minarets...

Another poem alerts us to the danger of women-created structures falling into the same patterns. The strategy she suggests through the image of breaking and re-making nests, not allowing accumulation, is one that all women's movements have found most effective – the organization which is continually in flux and builds power by diffusing it:

I Break

Like the weaver bird

I make nests

and light them up

with many fireflies

but when

layers of mud

begin to settle on them,

rising

palace-like,

then I break them up

because they begin to stink

of exploitation.

While most of her poems end in presentness, in what she is and does, some of them document painful and ongoing inner battles such as the battle to get out of suffocating relationships which have become a habit:

... Moving away from you

I think,

 $Now\ I'm\ saved,\ I'm\ free,$

but soon enough

the bars attract me,

the doors draw me back

and I am enclosed

in your close embrace,

56 MANUSHI

am imprisoned. I put on myself the chains of security, forget that freedom. And then again I lean towards the distances, restless to escape suffocation, but like a wing-cut pigeon, next moment, under your watchful eyes, flutter and fall still. Ah but one day, one day My wings will grow again. (Prison) Ramanika does not express the need for togetherness with other women. Her poems are in the tradition of solitary soulmaking. She does assert herself as a political being: ...*I* I am myself-A political consciousness, an economic necessity, an intellectual indispensability, a natural inevitability. I am a human being, Not that Parvati Who turned and fled From the arena... (Ardhanarishwar) but her need for comradeship is repeatedly frustrated by men who see in her only a body: ... I move far away from you, throw off your glances, stand separate. Because I cannot consider myself (Ardhanarishwar) a morsel for anybody... She records her moment of weariness with moving honesty: Mirror I looked into the mirror – the shade. glancing at my tired face, laughed. *In sheer panic* I turned the mirror round – What if by some chance I were to take note of My own tiredness? and also her defiant readiness to die alone: ...I will not bow down -

strengthened through a reaching out to other women – the undifferentiated "I" does represent all womanhood but not sisterhood.

As women we have always been encouraged to suggest, hint, understate. Too often women's poetry is in the vein of longing, hope, dream. Ramanika's style is one of statement and battle cry – reflecting the uncompromising content. However, in one short lyric, she permits herself song. This poem affirms that our struggle is *the* struggle of tomorrow – that the forces of health and life are with us. Not just hope but a serene sureness infuses her rhythm here – the sureness that women, the most oppressed, are also potentially the most joyful, free, creative:

... Small bird, you fly to touch the sky's fringe,

Distances shrink, watch your flight,

are amazed.

Yes, your wings are strong but the wind too blows with you. Today the sun is with you,

today the stars are with you... (Woman-Strength)

Ramanika has a keen sense of women's unfolding history and of how each struggle, though it may seem to end in defeat, is an irrevocable step forward because, by having taken place, it starts something, destroys a silence;

Nails

There I was,

standing on the doorstep of restrictions, having sprung across time's threshold.

I began

to pull out one by one the nails of the forbidden

from those old hinges of tradition.

Creaking helplessly,

the door began to give way.

Cracks appeared. I peered through.

There they were – standing with hands outspread.

Startled,

I shrank into myself,

hastily gathered up the nails and tried to push them back. Ah, but those centuries-old nails having once been pulled out,

refused to stick into the doors.

Time has elapsed, you see -

Custom had crumbled.

In her preface, Ramanika says: "Reality is the only truth, truth the only poetry." Women's reality has been stifled throughout history, women poets have died before birth, poetry has been mutilated. Ramanika's is one of the voice in the wilderness – a call to many more women to undertake that expression of our real desires, needs, actions, which is art.

— Ruth Vanita

Like Joan of Arc.

and burn alive

I will climb the pyre

What if I have to swing on the gallows

for the re-establishment of my values... (Adam's Rib)

One misses any suggestion that the struggle could be

at a young age, like Rohila?